

METHOD, SYSTEM AND SOFTWARE ARRANGEMENT, FOR MEASURING MAGNETIC FIELD CORRELATION

SPECIFICATION

5

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

The present application claims priority from United States Patent Application No. 60/485,502, filed July 8, 2003, the entire disclosure of which incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

10

The present invention relates to methods, systems, software arrangements and storage medium for measuring a magnetic field correlation function in a magnetic resonance imaging area, and more particularly, to methods for measuring the magnetic field correlation (“MFC”) function utilizing asymmetric spin echoes.

15

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

A theory of using asymmetric spin echoes is described, in part, in Jensen J.H. *et al.* Chandra R. *Magn Reson Med*; 44:144 (2000) (the “Jensen Publication”), the entire disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

20

The local magnetic field experienced by a water proton is quantitatively characterized by the magnetic field correlation function, $K(t)$, which may be defined by

$$K(|t - t'|) = \langle B(t)B(t') \rangle, \quad [1]$$

25

with $B(t)$ being the difference, at a time t , between the magnitude of the local field and the magnitude of the spatially uniform main field, B_0 , and with the angle brackets indicating an averaging over all the water protons within a given region of interest. $K(t)$ may depend on both the spatial distribution of the magnetic field inhomogeneities and the diffusional dynamics of water molecules. The magnetic field correlation function provides information beyond the information contained in the standard nuclear magnetic resonance (“NMR”) relaxation times. Thus, the

present invention provides a novel technique to, *inter alia*, examine the properties of tissue, blood, iron-rich regions of the brain, and tumors.

Although it is believed that the MFC has not been specifically measured, it was introduced at least as early as 1953 in the seminal work of Anderson and Weiss on NMR line shapes. *See* Anderson PW, Weiss PR, Rev Mod Phys 1953; I25:269-276 (the "Anderson Publication"), the entire disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. More recently, it has been utilized in several studies on the modeling of MRI contrast. For example, *see* Callaghan PT, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991; (the "Callaghan Publication") Kennan et al., 10 Magn Reson Med 1994; I31:9-21 (the "Kennan Publication"); Stables et al., Magn Reson Med 1998; I40:432-442 (the "Stables Publication"); and the Jensen Publication *et al.*, the entire disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference.

The MFC may be described as the magnetic resonance ("MR") signal intensity as a function of the acquisition time, and can be approximated by the 15 exponential form:

$$K(t) = K_0 \exp(-t/\tau), \quad [2]$$

where $K_0 = K(0)$ is the magnetic field variance and τ is a characteristic decay time. It has recently been shown in the Jensen Publication, that when water diffusion is only weakly restricted the MFC is more accurately described by an algebraic 20 expression of the form:

$$K(t) = K_0 \left(1 + \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{-3/2}. \quad [3]$$

There is not a significant amount of quantitative information regarding the MFC, except for an exact result that can be derived for an idealized random sphere model.

Asymmetric single spin echoes were first introduced by Dixon and 25 Sepponen. In particular, Dixon demonstrated how asymmetric spin echoes can be used to separate the MR signals originating from water and fat. *See* Dixon WT, Radiology 1984; I153:189-194 (the "Dixon Publication"), the entire disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. Sepponen et al., applied asymmetric spin echoes to obtain chemical shift images. *See* Sepponen RE, et al., Comput. Assist. 30 Tomography 1984; I8:585-587 (the "Sepponen Publication"), the entire disclosure of

which is incorporated herein by reference. The asymmetry of the Dixon sequence arises by shifting the signal acquisition time, while the asymmetry of the sequence of Sepponen et al. is achieved by shifting the 180° refocusing pulse.

Asymmetric single spin echo technique with a shifted refocusing pulse
5 has been previously used to obtain MFC data. See Wismer et al., J Comput Assist Tomography 1988; I12:259-265 (the “Wismer Publication”); Rosenthal et al., Invest Radiology 1990; I025:173-178 (the “Rosenthal Publication”); Thulborn et al., Am J Neuroradiol. 1990 (the “Thulborn Publication”); 11:291-297; Hoppel et al., Magn Reson Med 1993; I30:715-723 (the “Hoppel Publication”); Ganesan et al., J. Magn.
10 Reson. (B) 1993; I102:293-298 (the “Ganesan Publication”); and the Stables Publication, the entire disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference. However, there remains a need for improved methods of measuring the magnetic field correlation.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15 The present invention relates to methods, systems, software arrangements and storage medium for measuring the magnetic field correlation function, and more particularly, to methods, systems, software arrangements and storage medium measuring the magnetic field correlation function utilizing asymmetric spin echoes.

20 In an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, method, systems, software arrangements and storage medium obtaining the magnetic field correlation (“MFC”) of a sample using magnetic resonance for imaging (“MRI”) are provided that apply multiple spin echo sequences in which at least one spin echo sequence is an asymmetric spin echo sequence. Resultant information may then be acquired and the MFC can be determined as a function of the acquired information.
25

In a further exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the spin echo sequences may be an Asymmetric Dual Spin Echo Sequence (“ADSE”) having multiple echoes. In another exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the spin echo sequences may be an Echo Planar Imaging-Asymmetric Dual Spin Echo Sequence (“EPI-ADSE”) having multiple echoes.
30

In a further embodiment of the present invention, the asymmetric spin echo sequence can be applied by shifting a refocusing pulse such that the time

between the rotation pulse and the refocusing pulse (t_1) is not equal to the time between the refocusing pulse and the signal acquisition (t_2), e.g., $t_1 \neq t_2$. In yet another exemplary embodiment, the asymmetric spin echo sequence may be applied by shifting the acquisition of the resultant information such that the time between the 5 rotation pulse and the refocusing pulse (t_1) is not equal to the time between the refocusing pulse and the signal acquisition (t_2), i.e., $t_1 \neq t_2$.

In a further exemplary embodiment, the MFC can be determined as a function of the resultant information by applying the formula

$$K[(2n-1)\Delta t] \approx \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2\gamma^2 t_s^2} \ln \left[\frac{S_n(0)S_{n-1}(t_s)}{S_n(t_s)S_{n-1}(0)} \right],$$

- 10 in which γ is the proton gyromagnetic ratio, S_n is the signal intensity of the nth echo; and $t_s = |t_1 - t_2|$ with t_1 being the time between a rotation pulse and a refocusing pulse, and t_2 being the time between the refocusing pulse and a signal acquisition.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

15 Fig. 1(a) shows an exemplary illustration of randomly distributed spheres representing cells with a higher magnetic susceptibility than the surrounding tissue;

20 Fig. 1(b) shows exemplary contour lines for the magnetic field shifts induced by an external field oriented in the z direction, with solid lines provided for positive shifts, and dotted lines provided for negative shifts;

Fig. 1(c) shows diffusion paths for two water molecules beginning at a time t and ending at a time t' ;

25 Fig. 1(d) shows an illustration of an exemplary magnetic field correlation ("MFC") as a function of time representing a decay of the field shift correlation;

Fig. 2 shows an exemplary asymmetric Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence with $N=3$;

30 Fig. 3(a) shows an exemplary asymmetric spin echo signal intensity provided as a function of the refocusing pulse time shift for two regions of interest within a subacute hemorrhagic brain lesion; and

Fig. 3(b) shows an exemplary semi-logarithmic graph provided as a function of the square of the time shift

Fig. 4 shows a flow diagram representing an exemplary method and procedure under at least partial control of a computing arrangement of Figure 5 using
5 the methods and systems of the present invention;

Fig. 5 shows a schematic block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of a system according to the present invention.

Other and further objects, features and advantages of the present invention will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art upon a reading of the
10 description of preferred embodiments which follows.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention relates to methods, systems, software arrangements and storage medium for measuring the MFC function, and more
15 particularly, to methods, software arrangements and storage medium for measuring the MFC function utilizing asymmetric spin echoes.

Since the MFC is sensitive to the spatial distribution of microscopic magnetic field inhomogeneities, MFC imaging enables another way to probe tissue microstructures. For example, MFC imaging may be potentially useful for evaluating
20 tissues with substantial intrinsic microscopic magnetic susceptibility variations. It may therefore be used as a sensitive probe of tissue microstructure that provides certain information beyond what is contained in the standard nuclear magnetic resonance (“NMR”) relaxation times, such as T2 and T2*. In addition, microscopic susceptibility variations can be created by the introduction of a contrast agent. For
25 example, the contrast agent may be a paramagnetic element, e.g., gadopentetate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA). Therefore, the imaging of the MFC may be useful in the evaluation of neurodegenerative disorders, such as Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases, since ferritin (i.e., the most prevalent form of iron in the brain) is paramagnetic and localized in oligodendrocytes, which have diameters of about 7
30 μm . Indeed, it is known that the T2 relaxation rate, as measured with a Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence, depends significantly on the interecho time in the brain region. Thus, the MFC with a nontrivial time dependence may be expected and

the measurement of this time dependent MFC can provide a significant marker of disease state.

The MFC imaging may be of particular interest for Alzheimer's disease, as conventional relaxation time measurements may not be as useful. Another 5 possibly important application the MFC imaging may be to the imaging of tumors. Most paramagnetic contrast agents, such as Gd-DTPA, enter only the extra cellular spaces of tissues, and thereby create microscopic magnetic susceptibility variations. The MFC of a contrast agent infused tumor may be sensitive to tumor morphology (e.g., cell size and density). The measurement of the MFC can therefore assist in 10 tumor identification and grading. Moreover, measuring the MFC with the magnetic resonance imaging ("MRI") may be a preferable way for probing inhomogeneities on a length scale of a few tens of micrometers. This may be because MRI echo times are typically in the range of 10ms to 100 ms, and the water diffusion constant in biological tissues is about $1.0 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{ms}$. This implies that the temporal behavior of the 15 measured MFC may be sensitive to spatial variations in the range of $8 \mu\text{m}$ to $25 \mu\text{m}$. Biologically, this may be an important length scale, since this is the size of capillaries and many types of cells.

The MFC may be defined as the product of the magnetic field shifts experienced by a water molecule at two different times, averaged over all the water 20 molecules within a specified region of interest. The field shifts may be the differences between the magnitude of the field and the magnitude of the uniform background field (which may be nearly the same as the external field magnitude). If the biological tissue has linear magnetic characteristics, as is usually the case, then the MFC may vary as the square of the external field. The dependence of the MFC 25 on the difference in the two sampling times, on the other hand, may be purely an intrinsic tissue property. In practice, the MFC may be most sensitive to the spatial dependence of the field shifts over length scales of about $10\mu\text{m}$, which is comparable to the size of many cell types.

To appreciate the significance of the MFC, it is preferable to 30 understand the definition of the MFC, which is provided as follows. For example, a tissue may be exposed to a strong, uniform external magnetic field, as is the case in a typical MRI experiment. Because of spatial variations in its magnetic susceptibility, the tissue may generate an inhomogeneous secondary field. The susceptibility

variations can be provided due to structures such as iron-rich cells or vessels with a deoxygenated blood. A water molecule diffusing through the tissue may experience a field that varies with time due to field inhomogeneities. If $\delta B(t)$ is defined as the difference at time t between the magnitude of the field at the water molecule and the
5 uniform background field, then the MFC may be defined by

$$K(|t - t'|) = \langle \delta B(t) \delta B(t') \rangle, \quad [4]$$

where the angle brackets indicate an average over all the water molecules in a specified region of interest (in practice this may be an MRI voxel). The temporal behavior of the MFC, which is indicated with a symbol K in mathematical
10 expressions, involves the time difference $|t - t'|$, as follows from an assumption that the state of the tissue may be time invariant. It should be noted that, by definition, the MFC can depend quadratically on the magnitude of the field inhomogeneities.

As an illustration, Fig. 1(a) depicts an exemplary set of approximately identical spheres distributed randomly in space. The random spheres may serve, for
15 example, as models for iron-rich glial cells as described in Jensen JH, et al., Magn Reson Med 2001; I46:159-165 (the “Second Jensen Publication”), the entire disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. For example, the magnetic susceptibility of the spheres may be higher than that of the surrounding media, and a uniform magnetic field is applied in the z direction. An inhomogeneous secondary
20 magnetic field (Fig. 1(b)) can then be generated. Fig. 1(c) depicts an exemplary diffusion path of two water molecules. For example, diffusion paths for two water molecules beginning at a time t and ending at a time t' . When $|t - t'|$ is small, the diffusion path is short, and the initial and final field shifts are strongly correlated. When $|t - t'|$ is large the diffusion path is long and the initial and final field shifts are
25 weakly correlated. For the shorter path, which corresponds to a smaller time difference between the initial and final points, the initial and final magnetic field shifts are similar, indicating a high degree of correlation. For the longer path, the magnetic field shifts are different, indicating a low degree of correlation. Fig. 1(d) plots the corresponding decay of the MFC as a function of time.

30 According to one exemplary embodiment according to the present invention, the MFC at specific times can be directly imaged without recourse to any

particular model. This exemplary technique thereby yield information beyond what may be provided by conventional relaxation time measurements. The fact that the MFC contains information distinct from T2 and T2* can be described using the following simple example. For example, two test tubes, A and B, can be provided

5 each containing an aqueous suspension of microspheres and equal concentrations of a paramagnetic contrast agent. If the microspheres in test tube A have a radius of 10 μm and the microspheres in test tube B have a radius of 20 μm , the spatial density of spheres in test tube A can be eight times higher than in test tube B. The volume fraction filled by the spheres can then be approximately the same in both suspensions.

10 T2 can be the same for both test tubes because T2 can be determined primarily by the contrast agent concentration. T2* can also be essentially the same, because T2* may depend primarily on the contrast agent concentration and the volume fraction of the spheres. Therefore, the test tubes may appear approximately identically on T2 or T2* weighted images. However, if a water diffusion constant of 1.0 $\mu\text{m}^2/\text{ms}$ is assumed,

15 then for a time difference of 20 ms the MFC values for the two test tubes will differ by about a factor of two, and the test tubes may appear sharply different on an MFC image.

A relationship between the MFC and transverse relaxation rates may be most clearly revealed through a connection between the MFC and T2 as measured

20 with a Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence. Because of water diffusion through field gradients, the measured T2, as obtained from a monoexponential fit to the echo intensities, may depend on the interecho time, $2\Delta t$. In the limit $2\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, the true T2 value may be obtained. If the magnetic field inhomogeneities are not too large, then one can show that T2 as a function of Δt may be provided by

$$25 \quad \frac{1}{T2(\Delta t)} = \frac{1}{T2(0)} + \frac{8\gamma^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m+1)^2} \int_0^{\infty} dt K(t) \cos \left[\frac{(2m+1)\pi t}{2\Delta t} \right], \quad [5]$$

with γ representing the proton gyromagnetic ratio.

If the full dependence of T2 on the interecho time were available, then it is possible to invert Eq. [5] to determine the MFC. However, it is generally only practical to obtain T2 for a limited number of Δt values, and so a straightforward inversion may not be possible. In some cases, it may be possible to postulate a model

form for the MFC, having a few adjustable parameters, and then fit the model to the T2 data by using equation [5]. However, the success of this approach may depend on the accuracy of the model, which can be difficult to establish.

The physical significance of the MFC can be twofold. First, the
 5 magnitude of the MFC may provide a measure of the amplitude of the magnetic field inhomogeneities within a tissue. In particular, as follows from Eq. [3], $K(0)$ can be the variance of the field. Second, the decay of the MFC with time may contain information about the spatial variation of the field inhomogeneities. In particular, the temporal rate of change of the MFC at a time t (i.e., $K'(t)$) can be primarily sensitive
 10 to field inhomogeneities with a length scale of about $\sqrt{6Dt}$, where D is the water diffusion constant, where $\sqrt{6Dt}$ being the average distance a water molecule diffuses over a time t . Thus, the MFC may be measured in conjunction with diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) in order to obtain an estimate of the water diffusion constant. This additional information may then allow for a more accurate assessment
 15 of the length scale associated with the field inhomogeneities. If the inhomogeneities vary only on length scales that are large compared to about $\sqrt{6Dt}$ (i.e., $\gg 25 \mu\text{m}$), the MFC can be essentially independent of time and may be related to the NMR line width. Particularly, the second moment of the NMR spectral line may be approximately about $4\gamma^2 K$.
 20

According to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the MFC may be determined by applying 2 or more spin echo sequences, in which at least one spin echo sequence is an asymmetric spin echo sequence. Fig. 2 shows an exemplary asymmetric Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence with $N=3$. The 180° refocusing pulses are shifted by a time t_s while the acquisition time is
 25 unchanged. According to one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, a spin echo sequence can be provided in which an initial 90° rotation pulse may be followed at a time t_p by a 180° refocusing pulse and an NMR signal of magnitude $S(t, t_p)$ is collected at a time t . For standard spin echo imaging, t can be selected to be equal to $2t_p$. Thus, an asymmetric spin echo image may have about $t \neq 2t_p$ and the following
 30 formula may be applied:

$$\ln \left[\frac{S(2t, t)}{S(2t, t+t_s)} \right] \approx 2\gamma^2 \int_{t_s}^t dt' (t_s - |t'|) K(t+t'), \quad [6]$$

where γ is the proton gyromagnetic ratio.

Equation [6] is based on a weak field approximation, but is also usable at clinical field levels of a few Tesla or less. The correlation function enters equation [6] preferably only for times between $t-t_s$ and $t+t_s$. If $K(t)$ is approximated in this temporal range by a linear function, the following formula may be applied:

$$\frac{1}{2\gamma^2 t_s^2} \ln \left[\frac{S(2t, t)}{S(2t, t+t_s)} \right] \approx K(t). \quad [7]$$

Hence, the ratio of the signal intensities for a standard spin echo sequence and for an asymmetric spin echo sequence can be used to estimate $K(t)$ at a selected time. This exemplary technique may be utilized for multiple spin echo sequences so that $K(t)$ at several different times may be determined from one pair of acquisitions.

For example, the key may be a relationship between the MFC and the signal intensity for an asymmetric CPMG sequence. The asymmetric CPMG sequence differs from a conventional CPMG sequence in that the 180° refocusing pulses are shifted from their usual times by an amount t_s , as is illustrated in Fig. 2. Regardless of t_s , the signal can be sampled at times $2n\Delta t$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$, where $2\Delta t$ is the interecho time and N is the total number of echoes. Now let $S_n(t_s)$ be the magnitude of the n th echo.

$$\int_{-t_s}^{t_s} dt (t_s - |t|) K[(t+2n-1)\Delta t] \approx \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2\gamma^2} \ln \left[\frac{S_n(0)S_{n-1}(t_s)}{S_n(t_s)S_{n-1}(0)} \right], \quad [8]$$

with the convention $S_{-1}(t_s) = 1$. The corrections to equation [8] are preferably third order in the field, and for weak fields are small compared to the MFC, which is second order in the field. The integral in equation [8] is over the time t ranging from $-t_s$ to t_s . As long as t_s is not too large, it is reasonable to make the following linear approximation:

$$K[(t+2n-1)\Delta t] \approx K[(2n-1)\Delta t] + t K'[(2n-1)\Delta t], \quad [9]$$

which leads to the following simplification:

$$K[(2n-1)\Delta t] \approx \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2\gamma^2 t_s^2} \ln \left[\frac{S_n(0)S_{n-1}(t_s)}{S_n(t_s)S_{n-1}(0)} \right], \quad [10]$$

This expression indicates that the MFC at the time of the nth refocusing pulse can be estimated from the signal intensities of the preceding and succeeding echoes. As provided in equation [10], an echo train of N echoes can be
5 used to obtain the MFC at N different times.

As an example of how to apply equation [10] to obtain an estimate for the MFC, the experiment described in Wismer may be considered. See Wismer et al., J Comput Assist Tomography 1988; 112:259-265, the entire disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. Fig. 3a shows the signal intensity from a subacute 10 hemorrhagic brain lesion obtained *in vivo* with asymmetric spin echoes. The field level was 0.6 T, the TE may be 50 ms, and the TR may be 500ms. For $n = 1$, Eq. [10] can be expressed as

$$\ln[S_1(t_s)] \approx \ln[S_1(0)] - 2\gamma^2 t_s^2 K(\Delta t). \quad [11]$$

Thus, the signal intensity is preferably a linear function of t_s^2 on a
15 semi-logarithmic plot. An estimate of the MFC at the time of the refocusing pulse can then be found from the slope of a line fitted to the data. In Fig. 3b, the same data shown in Fig. 3a is replotted as a function of t_s^2 . The slope of a linear fit to the data allowing an estimate of the MFC at a time of 25 ms. From the slope of the linear fit, it is indicated that $\gamma^2 K(25 \text{ ms}) = 6100 \pm 200 \text{ s}^{-2}$, for the first region of interest, and
20 $\gamma^2 K(25 \text{ ms}) = 3400 \pm 100 \text{ s}^{-2}$, for the second region of interest. (When giving quantitative values for the MFC, a factor of γ^2 is included for convenience.)

Qualitatively similar behavior for the signal intensity as a function of t_s has been reported for normal brain, bone marrow, lung tissue and microsphere phantoms. See the Hoppel, Rosenthal, Ganesan., and Stables Publications.
25

Referring to Fig. 2, the RF (i.e., radio frequency) pulses, X magnetic gradient, Y magnetic gradient, and Z magnetic gradient are plotted against time. An initial magnetic field polarizes the molecules in the Z direction. A 90 degree rotation pulse can then be used to move the magnetization into the XY plane, which precesses

about the Z direction. A 180 degree refocusing pulse may then be used to correct a phase dispersion. Additional refocusing pulses may also be used to increase the signal, and further correct the phase dispersion. In an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, at least two refocusing pulses may be used. In a further 5 embodiment, the gradient coils can be energized at various times to produce an image of the subject being analyzed.

One of the features of the present invention is the use of the RF pulse sequence. Referring to Fig. 2, the dotted line shown therein represents the typical time that a refocusing pulse is used in conventional systems. This is approximately 10 provided between the time of the rotation pulse and the time the signal is acquired. In an exemplary aspect of the present invention, the radio frequency pulse can be shifted by time t_s . The imaging signal changes as the pulse is shifted either positively or negatively. In a further exemplary embodiment, the refocusing pulse may be shifted in one direction up to the point where it is approximately simultaneous with the 90 15 degree pulse. In another embodiment of the present invention the refocusing pulse may be shifted by an amount up to the point where it is nearly simultaneous with the signal acquisition. In yet another exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the refocusing pulse may be shifted back and forth arbitrarily within that region. In still another embodiment of the present invention, the refocusing pulse may be shifted 20 about $\frac{1}{4}$ of the time between the previous pulse and the time the signal is obtained.

According to one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, two pulse sequence programs implementing an ADSE may be used. A dual spin echo has the advantage over a single spin echo by simultaneously providing the information usable to estimate the MFC for two different time values. In one exemplary 25 embodiment of the present invention, a conventional sequence with a single phase encoding step for each 90° radio frequency (RF) pulse may be used. For example, the sequence shown in Fig. 2 may be utilized, with only two 180° pulses. In another exemplary embodiment of the present invention, an EPI dual spin echo in which all of phase space can be acquired with a single 90° pulse. Since the image acquisition 30 time is a fraction of a second for the EPI sequence, as compared to several minutes for the conventional sequence, the EPI sequence may be more convenient for some applications. In addition, the EPI sequence may reduce associated motion artifacts.

Using the exemplary techniques described in the present invention, the MFC may be estimated by applying equation [7] to the ADSE imaging data. For example, typical MFC values obtained *in vivo* for a human brain are using the methods and systems according to the present invention listed in Table 1. One prior 5 *in vivo* human study, which allows for MFC estimates, gives data just for hemorrhagic brain lesions, which have a much higher MFC than healthy brain tissue. See Wismer Publication. This study, however, has been performed at a low field level of 0.6 T, and the MFC in normal brain was presumably too small to observe due to a small SNR. Since the MFC increases quadratically with the field strength, the 10 MFC at 1.5 T is 6.25 times larger than at 0.6 T, its detection is easier.

Table 1

Brain Region	Mean MFC (1/s ²)
Globus Pallidus	1004 ± 112
Substantia Nigra	820 ± 92
Red Nucleus	715 ± 75
Putamen	497 ± 83
Caudate Head	443 ± 73
Thalamus	318 ± 100
Cortical Gray Matter	268 ± 95
Frontal White Matter	150 ± 104

Figure 4 is a flow diagram representing an exemplary procedure under at least partial computer control using the methods and systems of the present invention, as may be carried out by the system of Figure 5. In step 410, a spin echo sequence is applied to the sample. Next, the corresponding resultant information is acquired in step 420. In step 430, another spin echo sequence is applied to the sample. Then, in step 440 resultant information is acquired corresponding to the spin echo sequence applied in step 430. In step 450, it is determined whether it is desirable to apply more spin echo sequences to the sample. If yes, additional spin 15 echo sequences are applied and corresponding resultant information is further 20 acquired.

acquired. If no, the magnetic field correlation is determined based on the acquired resultant information. It should be noted that according to an exemplary embodiment of the present invention at least one spin echo sequence is an asymmetric spin echo sequence.

5 Fig. 5 shows a schematic block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of a system according to the present invention. In particular, an MRI instrument 500 is controlled by a processing arrangement 510 and may be connected to an output display 520. The processing arrangement may control the spin-echo sequences applied to the sample located in the MRI instrument 500 and also obtain the resultant 10 information from the sample. The processing arrangement 510 may also be used to calculate the magnetic field correlation. In a further embodiment, the processing arrangement 510 may be used to generate an image as a function of the determined MFC, which may then be displayed on output display 520.

Therefore, the exemplary embodiment of the system process and 15 software arrangement according to the present invention is well-adapted to carry out the objects and attain the ends and advantages mentioned as well as those which are inherent therein. While the invention has been depicted, described, and is defined by reference to exemplary embodiments of the invention, such a reference does not imply a limitation on the invention, and no such limitation is to be inferred. The 20 invention is capable of considerable modification, alteration, and equivalents in form and function, as will occur to those ordinarily skilled in the pertinent arts and having the benefit of this disclosure. The depicted and described embodiments of the invention are exemplary only, and are not exhaustive of the scope of the invention. Consequently, the invention is intended to be limited only by the spirit and scope of 25 the appended claims, giving full cognizance to equivalence in all respects.